Comment Set C.113: Marcella E. Mahan

Mr John Boccio CPUC, EIR Project Manager Aspen Environmental Group 30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215 Agoura Hills Ca. 913011	BY:	
Reference: Antelope Pardee 500kV Transmission Project I wish to state my opposition to Alternative 4 (the pink route) and Corridors that cross Leona Valley, Ca. for the following reasons.	Alternative 5 both High Tension Line	C.113-1
1. Potential interference or damage of water resources, due to constu- by such activities.	ction /demolition and erosion caused	
2. Devaluation of property due to viewscape interference and health CANCER RATES INCREASED 50 PERCENT FOR PEOPLE, ESP PROXIMITY TO TRANSMISSION LINES.PER PROVEN STATIS	PECIALLY CHILDREN, LIVING IN	
3. Potential of School Closure due to loss of families in Leona Valle	у.	
4. Increased tax basis if you are forced to relocate.		
5 Increased insurance premiums due to increased fire risk		
Interference with disaster/emergency preparedness (water droppin reach some areas due to the positioning of the towers.	g helicopters would not be able to	
8. Increased fire hazard due to location of transmission lines along the	he fault line.	C.113-2
 Health and safety concerns: a. Childhood leukemia and spontaneous abortions associated with b. increased traffic (demolition and construction vehicle traveling c. 4,605 tons of waste created by project (according to their own d. increased air pollution (this alternative causes second highes report). 10. The greatest number of residences exposed to noise. 	ng through local school zone. vn report).	
11. The greatest demand on public services due to extended route ler	ngth.	
12. Threat to existing wildlife on private lands.	- dard	
13. Increased cost of completing the project Alternate 4 and 5 is the private property.	longest and impacts on the most	
14. The greatest demand on public services due to the extended route	e length.	I
Signed; Marcella E. Mahan 8728 Leona Avenue P.O. Box 825 Leona Valley, Ca. 93551-0825 Marcella E. Mahan 8728 Leona Que. P.O. Bap 8205 X Roma Valley, Ca. 93551-0825		
,		

Response to Comment Set C.113: Marcella E. Mahan

- C.113-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion regarding Alternative 4 and 5.
- C.113-2 The list of reasons 1 thru 11 are the same as submitted by commenter C.9 and C.44.
 - 1 = See response C.9-3
 - 2 = See response C.9-5 & C.9-11
 - 3 = See response C.9-7
 - 4 = See response C.9-9
 - 5 = See response C.9-8
 - 6 = See response C.9-4
 - 8 = See response C.9-8
 - 9 = See response C.9-11&12 and C.44-7&8
 - 10 = See response C.44-3
 - 11 = See response C.44-5
 - 12 = The Draft EIR/EIS acknowledges that Alternative 5 would cross lands containing natural plant communities that support populations of native wildlife. Section C.3.10.1.3 (Alternative 5 Existing Conditions) of this Draft EIR/EIS provides specific information addressing the native plant and animal communities that are known to occur along the Alternative 5 alignment. Based on the evaluation of information identified in this EIR/EIS, Alternative 5 is not expected to result in significant unmitigable impacts to biological resources. Section C.3.10.2 (Impacts and Mitigation Measures) provides a description of the proposed impacts and identifies mitigation measures that would reduce these impacts to less-than-significant levels.
 - 13 = Although project cost is not discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS, we agree that due to the increased length it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC
 - 14 = (Comment 11 is the same as this comment)